

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL (ST) NO.13222 OF 2025
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2362 OF 2025**

SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Digitally signed by
SATISH RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR

Date: 2025.09.17
19:19:36 +0530

Dada Shivaji Jadhav

...Appellant/Applicant

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr.Ghanshyam Jadhav:-	Advocate for Appellant/Applicant.
Mr.Ashok Gawai:-	APP for Respondent No.1 -State.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 9th SEPTEMBER 2025

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the First-Informant and learned APP.
2. The Special Judge as per the Maharashtra Control of Organised Crime Act, 1999 (“**MCOC Act**”) on the Application filed by the Respondent No.2 – witness **has stayed the trial** of Atrocity Special Case No.34 of 2013 till the disposal of MCOC Case No.7 of 2018 and 13 of 2018.
3. Notice issued by this Court on Respondent No.2 is served. It is

the submission of learned APP from the mobile handset of the Police representative. Learned APP has shown me one acknowledgment which is of Respondent No.2. Let learned APP to file a compliance report on the next date.

4. When I have heard learned Advocate for the Appellant, he has pointed out to me the observations in the impugned order (paragraph No.4). Learned Judge has recorded about hearing the concerned Accused persons. If the Appellant will succeed in this case, the order of abeyance needs to be set aside. It will be heard in due course. However, the Accused also needs to be heard. Let the Appellant join the concerned Accused persons as Respondents. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks.

5. Once the amendment is made, notice be issued to those Accused who are in the Jail premises and notice be served to those Accused persons who are on bail at their address as per the record. **Let both the sets of notice be served by local Police Station.**

6. Stand over to **6th October 2025.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]