

sg

917.aba1790-25.docx

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

Anticipatory Bail Application No.1790 of 2025

Usha Ravindra Pratap Singh ... Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

Mr Kewal Khandagale, for the applicant.

Mr MG Patil, APP, for the respondent/ State.

PSI Jarande, Nirmalnagar Police Station, is present.

Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.

Date: 2 July 2025.

P.C.:

. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the investigation in the present case has concluded, and a charge-sheet has already been filed against the co-accused, who is the husband of the deceased. The present applicant is the mother-in-law of the deceased.

2. The learned Counsel further submits that during the life-time of the deceased, there was no complaint or allegation made by the deceased against the applicant. It is also emphasized that the applicant and the deceased were residing separately and not in the same household. The essential

ingredients necessary to constitute an offence under Section 108 are not made out in the present case.

3. The learned Counsel also seeks leave to implead the first informant as party respondent to this application. Leave granted as prayed for. Necessary amendment shall be carried out within one week from today. After the amendment is carried out, issue notice to the newly added respondent. The learned Counsel for the applicant to provide copy of this application to the Registry to enable it to issue notice to respondent No.2.

4. By consent, stand over to **18 July 2025**. There shall not be any action against the applicant in the present crime till the next date. To be listed along with Anticipatory Bail Application No.415 of 2025.

(R.N. Laddha, J.)