

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO.575 OF 2020
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4075 OF 2017
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.1967 OF 2025

Sureshkumar Khemraj Ji Jain ...Appellant/Applicant
V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay and Anr. ...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.548 OF 2020

M/s.Kavis Fashions Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. ...Applicants

In the matter between:-

Sureshkumar Hemraj Ji Jain ...Appellant
V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.1168 OF 2025
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3663 OF 2025
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.54 OF 2025

Mrs.Kalpanadevi Govindsingh Parmar ...Appellant/Applicant
V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay and Anr. ...Respondents

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.473 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4076 OF 2017
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2007 OF 2025

Mr.Devanand Ramapati Maurya ...Appellant/Applicant

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.557 OF 2020
IN FIRST APPEAL NO.473 OF 2017

M/s.Kavis Fashions Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. ...Applicants

In the matter between:-

Devanand Rampati Maurya ...Appellant

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.34 OF 2023
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4077 OF 2017
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.2004 OF 2025

Mr.Jagdish Ghisingh Purohit ...Appellant/Applicant

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of
Greater Bombay and Ors. ...Respondents

WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.555 OF 2020
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.34 OF 2023

M/s.Kavis Fashions Pvt. Ltd. and Ors. ...Applicants

In the matter between:-

Jagdish Ghisusingh Purohit ...Appellant

V/s.

The Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai
and Ors. ...Respondents

No one is present:-	On behalf of the Appellants.
Ms.Pallavi Khale i/b. Ms.Komal Punjabi:-	Advocate for Respondent-BMC.
Mr.Tushad Kakalia a/w Mr.Uttam Rane:-	Advocate for Respondent Nos.3-5.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 15th OCTOBER 2025

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 to 5 and learned Advocate for MCGM in all these Appeals.
2. The contention of the Respondents is a proposal submitted on behalf of the Appellants for regularization came to be rejected. An attempt was made to regularize it on behalf of the Appellants twice but

they have not succeeded. According to both of them, the Appeal has become infructuous considering this development and considering the prayers made in the suit.

3. No one is present on behalf of the Appellant. If on the next date, no one will remain present on behalf of the Appellant, Court will hear the Respondents and pass appropriate order.

4. Stand over to **7th November 2025**. To be listed under the caption for "**Direction**".

Later on:-

5. When the matter was called out in the morning session, learned Advocate for the Appellant was not present. He submitted that he was busy before some other Court. He prays for extending the ad-interim order. It is opposed on behalf of learned Advocate for MCGM. Let it be continued till next date.

6. Stand over to **7th November 2025**.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]