

Rekha Patil

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO. 9847 OF 2022

Satish Hastimal Gandhi and Ors. ...Petitioners

*Versus*

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. ...Respondents  
Ltd. And Ors.

---

**Ms. Aishwarya Bapat** i/b S. C. Wakankar, , for the Petitioners.

**Mr. Ketan Joshi, 'B' Panel Advocate**, for the Respondent-State.

**Ms. Niyati Shah (through V.C.)**, for the Respondent Nos. 4 to  
11.

**Mr. Rishikesh R. Soni** a/w Tushar R. Momaiyah, for the Respondent  
No. 12.

---

**CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &  
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

**DATED: 25<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025.**

**PC:-**

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that despite the directions contained in the order dated 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2025, passed by this Court to remove the transformer, proper steps have not yet been taken by the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to fully comply with the directions. The said submission of the petitioner's Counsel has, however, been disputed by the learned Counsel appearing for the respondent No.12 as well as learned Counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 4 to 11. None has, however, appeared for the

REKHA  
PRAKASH  
PATIL

Digitally signed by  
REKHA PRAKASH  
PATIL  
Date: 2025.09.25  
18:46:10 +0530

respondent Nos. 1 and 2, i.e. the Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company Limited (“MSEDL).

2. In view of the above, we grant four weeks further time to the parties to seek updated instruction.
3. Learned Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 be put on notice about the next date of listing in this case.
4. The petitioners would be at liberty to file additional affidavit bringing subsequent development, if any, on record, if so advised.
5. Stand over to **6<sup>th</sup> November, 2025.**

**(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)**

**(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)**