

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.21367 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.280 OF 2021

MRC Cranes Pvt. Ltd.

...Applicant/Appellant

vs.

Jayashri Ramu Chavan and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Rohan Surve, for the Applicant/Appellant

Ms. Shalini Shankar, for the Respondent No. 5.

CORAM : N. J. JAMADAR, J.

DATE : OCTOBER 13, 2021

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant in Civil Application No. 280 of 2021.

2. Office note indicates that the notice issued to respondent No. 6 is returned with the remarks of the Baillif, "gone out hence pasted on door" whereas the notice issued to respondent No. 6 through RPAD has been returned un-served with the remarks "left".

3. In the Civil Application, the applicant has assigned justifiable reasons to allow substituted service.

4. Hence, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

5. The substituted service be also effected by publishing the notice in two newspapers one English daily and another Marathi

daily, having wide circulation in the area, where the respondent No. 6 lastly resided.

6. Notice be made returnable 22nd December, 2021.

(N. J. JAMADAR, J.)