

Shabnoor

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITION NO.9658 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION (ST) NO.25211 OF 2024**

Manik Bhimrao Jadhav	... Petitioner
V/s.	
State of Maharashtra	... Respondent

Mr. Satish Talekar a/w Madhavi Ayyappan, Savi Lodam
i/b Talekar & Associates, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Y. D. Patil, AGP, for the State – Respondent.

CORAM : AMIT BORKAR, J.

DATED : DECEMBER 2, 2025

P.C.:

- 1.** Issue notice to respondent No.3, returnable on **16 December 2025.**
- 2.** The contentions raised on behalf of the petitioner is that he is a member of member of Respondent No.3 – society.
- 3.** It is further submitted that an appeal filed by a similarly situated member was entertained on merits, pursuant to which the impugned order came to be passed. According to the petitioner, the impugned order was never communicated to him. He submits that the loss allegedly caused to Respondent No.3 – Society was compensated by the Government, and therefore, the Authorised Officer recorded a finding that no loss had in fact been caused to Respondent No.3 – Society.

4. According to the petitioner, the exercise of powers in passing the impugned order has affected his legal rights. Therefore, he has the locus to intervene in the proceedings challenging the dropping of proceedings under Section 88 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960.

5. Since an earlier Bench of this Court has already permitted the petitioner to carry out the amendment, the petitioner is permitted to carry out the amendment in the physical copy of the petition.

(AMIT BORKAR, J.)