



Trupti

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 3205 OF 2025

Sashidhar Jagdishan

... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3215 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3216 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 3217 OF 2025**

...

Mr.Amit Desai, Senior Advocate with Ms.Chitra Rentala, Mr.Gopalkrishna Shenoy, Mr.Ritesh Desai, Ms.Kriti Srivastva, Mr.Parikshith K., Ms.Khyati Mehrotra and Ms.Shravani Maddirala i/b. Trilegal for the Petitioner in WP No. 3205 of 2025.

Mr.Karan Kadam with Ms.Chitra Rentala, Ms.Kriti Srivastva, Mr.Parikshith K., Ms.Khyati Mehrotra and Ms.Shravani Maddirala i/b. Trilegal for the Petitioner in WP No. 3215 of 2025.

Mr.Karan Kadam with Mr.Ajay Deshmane i/b. V.Deshpande & Co. for the Petitioner in WP No. 3217 of 2025.

Ms.Manaswi Agrawal with Mr.Shantanu Ray i/b. Meraki Chambers for the Petitioner in WP No. 3216 of 2025.

Mr. Kushal Mor with Mr.Abhishek Prabhu, Mr.Monish Bhatia and Ms.Jyoti Ghag i/b. Dua Associates for Respondent No.4 in WP Nos. 3205 of 2025, 3215 of 2025, 3216 of 2025 and 3217 of 2025.

Mr.Kushal Mor with Ms.Pooja Kothari and Ms.Urvi Gupte i/b. M/s. Rashmikant and Partners for Respondent No. 3 in WP No. 3205 of 2025 and connected matters.



Mr.S.V.Gavand, Addl.PP for the Respondent -State.

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, JJ.**

DATE : 8th July, 2025

P.C.:-

1. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners moved a motion at 3.00 pm praying that these matters be taken on the production board for the reason that Writ Petition Nos. 3313 of 2025 and 3227 of 2025 were mentioned before this Court in the morning at 11 am and one of us (Brother Justice Gautam A. Ankhad, J.) recused himself from hearing those matters. The parties in these matters before us are concerned with the matters which were mentioned at 11.00 am this morning.

2. The learned Senior Advocate, Mr.Amit Desai, addressed the Court at 3 pm and prayed that these matters may be taken on the production board so that the same order (Brother Justice Gautam A. Ankhad, J. recusing from hearing these matters) may be passed in these matters as well. Hence, these matters are taken on the production board which were called out at 5.30 pm.



3. The litigating parties have no objection if this Bench takes up these matters.

4. Since, Brother Justice Gautam A. Ankhad recuses from hearing these matters, these matters would not be placed before the Bench of which one of us (Justice Gautam A. Ankhad, J.) is a Member.

(GAUTAM A. ANKHAD, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)