

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1895 OF 2023

Anwar Jamal Ahmed Ifs (retd)	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**ALONGWITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1896 OF 2023**

Satish Gangadhar Phale	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**ALONGWITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1988 OF 2023**

Sadashiv Ramchandra Jadhav	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**ALONGWITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1989 OF 2023**

Balu Mithu Dhamake	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**ALONGWITH
ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1990 OF 2023**

Kishor Devrma Thakare	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

ALONGWITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2077 OF 2023

Bhaulal Chhagan Pawar

...Applicant

vs.

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondents

Mr. Vikas Kapile - Advocate for the Applicant in ABA 1895 of 2023 and ABA 1896 of 2023

Ms. Shama Shekh a/w Mr. Bharat Thakare i/by Meraki Legal – Advocate for Applicant in ABA 1988 of 2023, 1989 of 2023 and 1990 of 2023

Mr. Akshay Bankapur i/by Mr. Madhukar Y. Kale – Advocate for Applicant in ABA 2077 of 2023

Mr. H. J. Dedhia - APP for the Respondent-State

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 15th MARCH 2024

P. C. :-

1. It is true in all these matters, this Court has directed the Office to clarify the aspect mentioned in the Order dated 01/03/2024. Yet the report from the Registry is awaited.
2. Interim relief granted earlier to continue for two weeks.
3. Whether clarification is received or not, an interim will vacate automatically after two weeks.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]