

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

REVISION APPLICATION NO. 159 OF 2023

Best Undertaking ...Applicant
Vs.
Muttum Abdul Khalid And Ors. ...Respondents

**ALONGWITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 694 OF 2023**

Muttum Abdul Khalid ...Applicant
Vs.
Best Undertaking Through
Its General Manager And Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Harvinder Toor a/w Mr. Rakesh Singh a/w Heena Shaikh
i/by M. V. Kini and Co. - Advocate for the Applicant in REVN
159 of 2023 and APL 694 of 2023.

Mr. A. D. Kamkhedkar - APP for the Respondent-State

Adv. Shirish Desai, Adv. Suraj Vishwakarma i/by Hedgehog and
Fox LLP. - Cri. Rev. No. 159/2023 for Respondent and Cri. APPL
No. 694 of 2023 for Applicant

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 05th JANUARY 2024

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate for the BEST/first informant and learned Advocate for the original accused.
2. The Court of the Additional Sessions Judge, Mumbai as per Order dated 15/05/2023 was pleased to allow the

application filed by original accused in part. Both of them are aggrieved by the said Order. The BEST is aggrieved because BEST was directed to deposit the amount towards the electric consumption in the Court, this amount was deposited with them by the original accused. BEST wants to utilize that amount that is why they are aggrieved and that is why this revision. Whereas the original accused is aggrieved because his application was not allowed in Toto that is why Criminal application. His arguing counsel is not available today and hence adjournment is sought.

3. So let both these matters be kept on **17th January, 2024**.
4. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till next date.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]