

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**FIRST APPEAL NO.1276 OF 2024
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.11198 OF 2024**

Digitally signed
by SATISH
RAMCHANDRA
SANGAR
Date:
2025.09.24
11:38:11
+0530

Rubina Bernard Patil

...Appellant/Applicant

V/s.

Pinky Raindoll Patil and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr.Santosh Singh a/w Ms.Samiksha Pawar and Ms.Shweta Nevashe:-	Advocates for the Appellant/Applicant.
Mr.M.G.Rajbhar:-	Advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 22nd SEPTEMBER 2025

P. C. :-

1. It is true as per the order dated 8th September 2025, the Appellant/Applicant has expressed desire to appoint a new Advocate. Today the Appellant/Applicant is present.

2. Matter be kept back.

Later on:-

3. New learned Advocate explained the difficulty of his client to obtain NOC. He informed that his client has written a letter to the learned Advocate thereby cancelling his Vakalatnama.

4. It is true the issue about the Advocate and client is outside the purview of jurisdiction of this Court.

5. I have heard learned Advocate for the Respondent because he contends that his client has acted upon on the basis of oral settlement and also taken the premises on leave and license basis and it was with consent of the Appellant. This is denied on behalf of the Appellant. Even when there is a dispute about the oral settlement, this Court cannot look into that aspect. Even I have suggested them to refer the matter for Mediation. Even on the next date, they can show willingness if any.

6. Today the matter cannot be heard for want of representation for Appellant. In view of that, the matter be kept on **8th October 2025** for filing Vakalatnama / Hearing.

7. The ad-interim relief, granted earlier, to continue till the next date.

[S. M. MODAK, J.]