



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8668 OF 2025

Devidas Dhanaji Thali ... Petitioner
Versus
Managing Director, City Industrial Development
Corporation & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Sachin K. Hande for the Petitioner.
Mr. Soham Bhalerao i/by DSK Legal for Respondent-CIDCO.
Mrs. Leena Patil, 'B' Panel Counsel, for Respondent-State.

CORAM : MANISH PITALE AND
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.

DATE : 10th JUNE 2026

P.C. :

. On 5th May 2026, this Court had passed the following order :

“*The principal prayer in the present writ petition pertains to a consideration of the claim of the petitioner under the 12.5% Land Allotment Scheme by lottery.*

2. The learned counsel appearing for the City and Industrial Development Corporation of Maharashtra Ltd. (CIDCO) submits that the petition is rendered infructuous, as allotment of a developed plot in the said scheme was already made in favour of the father of the petitioner i.e. Dhanaji Sawlaram Thali on 11th June 2025.

3. We find that this writ petition was filed in May 2025 and the aforesaid allotment appears to have been made subsequent thereto.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner seeks short



adjournment to take instructions in the matter.

5. List under the category of ‘fresh matters’ on 10th June 2026.”

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that a developed plot is indeed allotted to the father of the petitioner, as stated by the respondent-City and Industrial Development Corporation (CIDCO).

3. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner has instructions to withdraw the petition.

4. Hence, the petition is disposed of as withdrawn. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)