



3. The Family Court has directed interim maintenance at the rate of Rs. 25,000/- and Rs.15,000/- per month for the son. Appeal is filed by the husband contending that the amount is excessive.

4. There is no dispute as regards the relationship. The parties are staying in Mumbai/Thane. The Respondent and her son would require some financial assistance for subsistence and therefore the maintenance cannot be brought down to an absurd level. The learned counsel for the Appellant, without prejudice, contends that the Appellant can at the most consider payment of Rs.15,000/- and that too with severe financial difficulty. The Learned counsel for the Appellant on instructions states that to show his bonafide he will deposit maintenance at the rate of Rs.15,000/-.

5. The learned counsel for the Respondent states that he will file affidavit setting out arrears as of today.

6. We also note that pursuant to the order dated 12 April 2022, the Appellant has deposited Rs. 5 Lakhs.

7. Stand over to 21 September 2022. The Respondent is permitted to withdraw the amount of Rs. 5 Lakhs which is deposited

by the Appellant pursuant to the earlier order.

(SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)