

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1498 OF 2024

Hemant Vishwas More And Anr	...Applicants
Versus	
The State Of Maharashtra	...Respondent

....

Mr. Sumedh Modak, Advocate for the Applicants.

Ms. Sangita D. Shinde, APP for the State/Respondent.

Mr. Akshay Pawar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

....

CORAM: RAJESH S. PATIL,J.

DATE : 27 JANUARY 2025.

P.C:-

1. Mr. Modak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that his client has already filed a One Time Settlement (hereinafter referred to as “OTS”) proposal with the Abhinav Sahakari Bank Limited. However, till date, there has been no response to the said OTS proposal.

2. Mr. Akshay Pawar, the learned Advocate appearing on behalf

of Respondent No2, on instructions, submits that the OTS proposal filed by the Applicants is not in the Bank's prescribed format, and as such, it has not been decided as of today. However, on instructions, he further submits that if the Applicant approaches the Bank tomorrow at 11.00 am, discussion can take place between the Applicant and the Bank's official regarding the OTS proposal.

3. On the argument made by both the sides, the Applicant is permitted to approach the Dombivli branch of the bank at 11.00 a.m. on 28 January 2025. The Bank's official will entertain the Applicant and decide the OTS proposal on its own merits.

4. In view of the same, stand over to 3 February 2025.

5. In the meantime, Ad-interim relief granted earlier to continue till the next date of the hearing.

(RAJESH S. PATIL,J)