

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.93 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.6604 OF 2019

Madhusudan @ Amod Dalvi ...Petitioner

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Madhusudan Alia Amod Dalvi ...Petitioner

Versus

Rajalaxmi Walavalkar ...Respondent

WITH

REVIEW PETITION NO.94 OF 2025

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.7536 OF 2019

Madhusudan @ Amod Dalvi ...Petitioner

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

Rajalaxmi Walavalkar ...Petitioner

Versus

Madhusudan @ Amod Dalvi ...Respondent

Mr. Vikramaditya Deshmukh a/w. Mr. Ashish Bhatia and Ms. Antara Kalambi i/b. ANB Legal, for the Petitioner RPW/93/2025 and RPW/94/2025.

Ms. Devayani S. Bhavé a/w. Ms. Asha D. More i/b. Ms. Komal Bharat Shah, for the Respondent RPW/93/2025 and RPW/94/2025.

CORAM: MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.

DATED : 9th MAY 2025

P. C.:

1. Dr. Madhusudan Dalvi, the Petitioner, who appears through video conference states that he will be engaging some other Advocate.

2. However, it is required to be noted that these are Review Petitions. It is settled legal position that as far as the Review Petition is concerned, the same Advocate should appear. In fact, this is a case where a statement is recorded on behalf of the Petitioner and now it is the contention of the Petitioner that his consent has not been obtained. In fact, as he gave consent for granting maintenance to the wife and daughter in Writ Petition No.7536 of 2019, order is passed by allowing Writ Petition No.6604 of 2019 by consent which has been filed by the Petitioner.

3. *Prima facie*, the conduct of the Petitioner is an abuse of the process of law.

4. However, to give opportunity to the Petitioner to engage another Advocate, stand over to **20th June 2025**.

5. In the facts and circumstances, learned Counsel who has appeared earlier for the Petitioner is requested to assist the Court.

[MADHAV J. JAMDAR, J.]

SONALI
MILIND
PATIL
Digitally
signed by
SONALI
MILIND
PATIL
Date:
2025.05.10
18:36:54
+0530