

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1021 OF 1999
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 30 OF 2000

Union of India

... Appellant

V/s.

Steel Authority of India

... Respondent

Mr. T. J. Pandian a/w. Mr. Gautam Modanwal a/w. Mr. Prasad Sawant for Appellant.

None for the Respondent.

CORAM : FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.

DATE : 17th MARCH 2026

P. C.:

1. Mr. Pandian, learned Counsel who appears on behalf of the Appellant, states that after the last order, he has spoken to the officers of the Respondent – Steel Authority of India, who have informed him that they would like to continue the appearance of Mr. Rajshekhar Govilkar, Senior Advocate, on their behalf and that he would be present in Court today to represent them.

2. Today, when the matter is called out, none appears for the Respondent.

3. Mr. Pandian therefore seeks some indulgence to once again contact the Respondent so that they are represented on the next occasion.

4. On perusal of paper book, it is revealed that the subject matter of challenge is an order which directs the Appellant to make payment of a sum of ₹ 7,734/- with interest at the rate of 12% per annum. Considering this amount, both parties are requested to endeavour to resolve the dispute amicably between themselves before the next occasion.

5. Stand over to 21st April 2026.

(FARHAN P. DUBASH, J.)

Ajay Jadhav