

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3159 OF 2009
IN
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.17983 OF 2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2982 OF 2019
IN
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.17983 OF 2009
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3158 OF 2009
IN
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.17983 OF 2009
AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.17983 OF 2009**

Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation,

Thr. Its Divisional Officer

....Applicant

Versus

Vasant Narayan Thakur & Ors.

.....Respondents

Mr. Prashant Chavan, Senior Advocate a/w. Ms Hasmita Patel i/b. Navdeep Vora & Associates, for Applicant/Appellant.

Mr. A.R. Patil, Addl.G.P. for State.

CORAM: SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.

DATE : MAY 5, 2026

ORDER :

1. Learned Senior Advocate on behalf of the Appellant submits that this Appeal is connected with a bunch of 13 other Appeals, the details of which are as produced below :-

DETAILED LIST OF APPEALS

Sr.No.	LAR No.	Party	F.A.No.
1.	987/2000	Smt. Subha Balaram Kharwel	1884/11
2.	993/2000	Sitaram Shivram Naik	1885/11
3.	994/2000	Vasant Narayan Naik	862/12
4.	998/2000	Ganesh Narayan Thakur	1886/11
5.	999/2000	Waman Shivram Naik	1887/11
6.	1002/2000	Ramchandra Nathu Naik	1888/11
7.	1003/2000	Vatsala Chintaman Naik & Ors.	1889/11
8.	1016/2000	Janadhan Narayan Naik & Ors.	1890/11
9.	1018/2000	Mahadeo Nathu Naik	1891/11
10.	1019/2000	Raghunath Bhau Naik	1892/11
11.	1020/2000	Ramchandra Nathu Naik	1893/11
12.	1021/2000	Ramchandra Nathu Naik	1894/11
13.	1026/2000	Vijaya Tukaram Naik & Ors.	1895/11

2. The same are also taken on Board and tagged along with the captioned proceedings, and stood over to ***June 9, 2026***, under the caption ***“Case Management Hearing”***.

3. Civil Application No.2982 of 2019 is an Application to bring on record legal heirs of Respondent No.2 in Civil Application No.3158 of 2009. The same

has remained pending on the docket of this Court for all these years. This Application is *allowed* and the legal heirs shall be served with the Civil Application No.3158 of 2009, which seeks condonation of a delay of nearly 450 days. It would be appropriate to give the Respondents an opportunity to present their say considering the scale of the delay.

4. The Appellant is also granted liberty to effect private service by all permissible modes, and to file a service affidavit, with tangible evidence of service, on or before the next date.

5. Stand over all the captioned matters along with the condonation application to *June 9, 2026*.

6. All actions required to be taken pursuant to this order shall be taken upon receipt of a downloaded copy as available on this Court's website.

[SOMASEKHAR SUNDARESAN, J.]