



HUSENBASHA
RAHAMAN
NADAF

Digitally signed
by
HUSENBASHA
RAHAMAN
NADAF
Date:
2026.06.15
18:28:19 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 1735 OF 2004
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2035 OF 2010

Bombay Suburban Electrical Supply Ltd., Mumbai ...Petitioner
Versus
Phoolchand R. Gupta, Mumbai ...Respondent

None for the Petitioner.
None for Respondent.

CORAM : M.M. SATHAYE, J.

DATE : 15th JUNE, 2026

P.C. :

1. The petition was admitted in March 2005. While admitting the petition, interim relief was rejected by a speaking order.
2. On 27.06.2011, it was recorded that the petition is directed against findings recorded by the Trial Court about issue of jurisdiction and it was further informed that the suit involved was ripe for hearing at relevant time.
3. Fresh civil application filed for interim relief, was disposed of without granting any interim relief. Trial Court was directed to proceed with the hearing.
4. On finding that earlier amendment is not carried out, limited time was granted with direction that if amendment is not carried out, the leave to amend will stand revoked.



5. As per office note, amendment is not carried out pursuant to the order dated 28.01.2013.
6. On 08.06.2026, following order was passed.
 - “1. None for the Petitioner.
 2. Stand over to 15.06.2026 for Dismissal.”
7. Today, the petition is listed for dismissal. However, nobody is appearing for the Petitioner.
8. **Petition is accordingly dismissed for want of prosecution.** All pending applications are also dismissed for want of prosecution.
9. All concerned to act on duly authenticated or digitally signed copy of this order.

(M.M. SATHAYE, J.)