



legha

940_IA_3410_2026 IN CRA_278_25

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3410 OF 2026
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.278 OF 2025

SURU Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

...Applicant
(orig. Respondent No.1)

In the matter of

Satish Yashwant Mane

...Applicant

V/s.

SURU Consultants Pvt. Ltd. and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr. Aseem Naphade with Adv. Hamza Lakhani, Mr. Chetan Yadav with
Mr. Aditya Mantri i/b. M/s. R.V. Co. for the Applicant (orig. Respondent
No.1.

Mr. Suresh Dubey for Respondent No.2.

Mr. Deepak Bhalerao, 2nd Assistant to Court Receiver, present.

CORAM: SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATED: 08 MAY 2026.

P.C.:

1) Heard Mr. Naphade, the learned counsel appearing for the Applicant and Mr. Suresh Dubey, the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No.2/original Defendant.

2) The Interim Application is filed with a grievance that the Applicant is unable to make use of the suit premises on account of removal of entry door. In that view of the matter, the Applicant would be at liberty to fix entrance door/ main door at the suit premises bearing Room Nos.102/103 on its own cost and take all necessary steps in that regard.

3) It is complained that Respondent No.2 has deliberately shut the main entrance to her Room No.102 with a view to facilitate entry in Room No.104 through the suit premises. Perusal of the photographs clearly indicate that there is a separate entrance from the passage to Room No.104, which is apparently blocked by pasting wall paper thereon. It would be open for Respondent No.2 to open the said closed door. It is made clear that if the Applicant installs main entry door to the suit premises, Respondent No.2 shall not enter the suit premises for any purposes including the purpose of gaining access to Room No.104.

4) List the Interim Application for compliance on **15 June 2026**.

[SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.]