

challenged the power of the Respondent – State in issuing the said Government Resolution in respect of Petitioner’s School. In support of this contention, he has referred to the provisions of the Maharashtra Self-Financed Schools (Establishment and Regulation) Act, 2012, which he submits are inapplicable to the case of Petitioner’s School.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner has forwarded the proposal for grant-in-aid as per the GR dated 15 November 2011 issued by the School Education Department and which proposal remains to be decided by the Respondent – State.

4. The learned AGP appearing for the Respondent – State has sought time to file an affidavit in reply to the writ petition.

5. The learned AGP appearing for the Respondent – State on instructions states that the proposal will be decided within a period of 4 weeks from today. This statement is accepted.

6. Considering the challenge to the impugned Government Resolution, till the next date, the Respondent shall maintain status quo in respect of Petitioner’s School and shall not take any coercive steps or action against Petitioner’s School on the basis of the impugned Government Resolution dated 2 April 2026 issued by the Respondent – State.

7. The Respondent shall file their Affidavit-in-Reply on or before 9th June 2026.

8. The Petitioner is at liberty to file an Affidavit-in-Rejoinder thereto on

or before 16th June 2026.

9. Place the writ petition for consideration on **18th June 2026**.

[ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.]

[R.I. CHAGLA, J.]