

varsha

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
SECOND APPEAL NO. 194 OF 1995**

The State of Maharashtra ... Appellant  
vs.  
Raghunath Mahadeo Patil and Ors ... Respondents

Mr. Dinesh Haldankar, AGP for Appellant.-State.

**CORAM : GAURI GODSE, J.  
DATED : 4<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025**

**ORDER:**

1. Learned AGP seeks time. The second appeal already stands abated against respondent no.1 and Respondent No. 4(c). Respondent No.1 and Respondent No. 4(c) are the original plaintiffs. By the impugned decree all the plaintiffs are held entitled to grant of occupancy of the suit land as per resolution dated 10<sup>th</sup> October 1973.

2. In view of dismissal of the second appeal as abated against Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No. 4(c), the entire second appeal would stand abated as the impugned decree is indivisible. In such a circumstances, the second appeal deserves to be dismissed as abated.

3. However, by way of last chance, list the appeal on 11<sup>th</sup> September 2025.
4. It is clarified that no further adjournment will be granted on the next date.

**(GAURI GODSE, J.)**