

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6249 OF 2026

Ayaan Maksim Bukrejev

...Petitioner

Versus

Univercity Of Mumbai Thr. The
Assistant Registrar And Ors

...Respondents

Mr. M.V. thorat, for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rui rodrigues, for Respondent No. 1.

**CORAM : R. I. CHAGLA AND
ADVAIT M. SETHNA, JJ.**

DATED : 5 MAY, 2026

PC.:-

1. This writ petition has been moved on the ground of urgency in view of the second semester examinations of the first year commencing from 11 May 2026.
2. The Petitioner has been held ineligible to pursue the Bachelor of Engineering degree course by Respondent No. 1 – University of Mumbai, vide communications dated 8 December 2025, which are impugned in the present writ petition.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner has referred to the orders passed by this Bench on 7 April 2026 in Writ Petition No. 4275 of 2026 and Writ Petition No. 1269 of 2026, wherein, in a similar factual situation, Petitioners who had attended lectures in their respective colleges were permitted to continue attending lectures and to fill examination

forms, considering that they had been allotted to their respective colleges by the MH State CET Cell.

4. He has submitted that, in the present case, Respondent - College has been allotted to the Petitioner, and he has been attending lectures and also appeared in the first semester examination.

5. The Petitioner appears to have been held ineligible in view of the BOD Resolution dated 11 August 2025. Mr. Rui Rodrigues, learned counsel appearing for Respondent No. 1 – University of Mumbai, has tendered an internal letter dated 24 April 2026. This is to the effect that Respondent No. 2 – Board has not obtained permission from the Ministry of Education to pursue education in Maharashtra or any other State. Accordingly, the Petitioner has been held to be ineligible.

6. Considering the submissions, and noting that in prior orders this Court has taken into account situations where students had attended lectures, cleared prior semesters, and were accordingly allowed to fill examination forms, a similar order is required to be passed in the present writ petition as the Petitioner is also similarly placed, seeking permission to fill out the examination form and to appear for the second semester examination.

7. Accordingly, for the above reasons, by way of this ad interim order, we direct that the Petitioner (student) be permitted to fill the examination form, if not already filled, and to appear for the second semester

examination, and to continue their academic course pending final adjudication of their eligibility. This ad interim order reflects our prima facie view and is subject to the outcome of the present writ petition and any further orders that may be passed. The Petitioner shall not claim any equities by virtue of this ad interim order.

8. The Respondents shall file their affidavit in reply on or before 10 June 2026.
9. The Petitioner shall file their affidavit in rejoinder on or before 17 June 2026.
10. Place the writ petition for consideration on **18 June 2026**.
11. The parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[ADVAIT M. SETHNA, J.]

[R.I. CHAGLA, J.]