



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6798 OF 2026

Bharatkumar Sohansingh Rajpurohit & Ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
Bank of Maharashtra ... Respondent

None for the Petitioners.
None for Respondent.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE AND
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : 11th JUNE 2026

P.C. :

- . None for the petitioners, even on the second call.
2. This petition challenges an order passed by the Competent Magistrate under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (Securitisation Act). The petitioners are the original borrowers and one of the grievances raised in the petition is that the Competent Magistrate did not hear them before passing the impugned order. It is settled law that the Competent Magistrate under Section 14 of the Securitisation Act, performs a ministerial act and there is no question of granting hearing to the borrowers.
3. The borrowers indeed have a statutory remedy under the



provisions of the Securitisation Act to approach the Debts Recovery Tribunal to ventilate their grievances.

4. In view of the above, no ground is made out for entertaining the present petition and accordingly, it is dismissed.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)