

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.6911 OF 2022**

Dilip Pandurang Koli & Ors.

....Petitioners

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. Zaman Ali for Petitioner.

Mr. G. S. Hegde, Senior Advocate i/b Ms P. M. Bhansali for Respondent (CIDCO).

Mr. A. I. Patel, Addl. GP a/w Mr. K. S Thorat AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 5.
Ms Jaya Bagwe for Respondent No.9.

Mr. A. S. Khandeparkar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Niranjan Shimpi for Respondent No.10 Union of India.

**CORAM : K.R. SHRIRAM &
RAJESH S. PATIL JJ
DATED : 29th MARCH 2023**

P.C. :

1 Mr. Thorat tenders copy of the communication dated 10th January 2023 that he received from Joint Commissioner of Fisheries (Marine) Maharashtra State, Mumbai and copy of notice dated 27th March 2023 addressed to petitioners by the Assistant Commissioner of Fisheries, Raigad-Alibag. Copy of these two communications have been handed over to Mr. Ali for petitioners. Mr. Thorat states by this notice of 27th March 2023, all petitioners have been directed to submit a claim alongwith documentary evidence that they are entitled to make such claim that their livelihood has been affected. Mr. Thorat states petitioners may also indicate how much is the loss they claim to have suffered. Mr. Thorat states once that is submitted, an appropriate committee which has been constituted will look

into the claim.

2 Mr. Hegde also states that the committee will also look into petitioners' grievance as to whether their livelihood is really affected and the committee will also suggest methods to resolve the matter. Mr. Hegde states that if the committee is of the opinion that petitioners' livelihood is not going to be affected, the report will accordingly say so. Mr. Hegde further states that before the committee finalises its report, they would have a consultation with petitioners, of course not the entire 134 petitioners but representatives of petitioners. Mr. Ali states that petitioners representatives will not exceed three in number.

3 Mr. Ali states that CIDCO is in breach of this court's order dated 29th July 2022 and Mr. Hedge denies the same. Mr. Hegde denies. We are not making any observations on that at this point of time.

4 Mr. Thorat states atleast 6 weeks time be given to the committee to submit a report. Since 6 weeks come to an end in the middle of court vacation, stand over to 7th June 2023 for directions.

5 In the order dated 4th January 2023, in the appearance column the word "**CYDCO**" to be corrected to read as "**CIDCO**" and the words "**Mr. Niranja Shiwari**" to be corrected to read as "**Mr. Niranjan Shimpi**".

(RAJESH S PATIL, J.)

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)