

sg

35.rev267-11.docx

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**Criminal Revision Application No.267 of 2011**

Ramesh Malhari Jadhav

... Applicant

versus

Audyogik Vikas Nagari Sahakari  
Patpedhi Ltd And Anr

... Respondents

----

None for the applicant.

Mr PB Kulkarni, for respondent No.1.

Ms Sangeeta D Shinde, APP, for respondent No.2/ State.

----

**Coram: R.N. Laddha, J.**

**Date: 29 January 2026.**

**P.C.:**

. By the present revision application, the applicant is challenging the impugned judgment and order dated 14 February 2011 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Pune, in Criminal Appeal No.196 of 2004, whereby the learned Additional Sessions Judge, dismissed the appeal and confirmed the sentence passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, First Class, Pimpri, by order dated 30 June 2004 in SCC No.435 of 2002 convicting the accused for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and sentenced to

suffer RI for six months and fine of Rs.5000/-, in default to suffer RI for one month.

2. Today, when the matter is called out, none present for the applicant.

3. Stand over to **13 February 2026**, In the meantime, office to ensure that the record and proceedings is received by the next date.

**(R.N. Laddha, J.)**