

DDR

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 22 OF 2019

Suryakant Shivaji Ingale & ors. ..Petitioners

vs.

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ..Respondents.

.....

Mr. Ashish S. Gaikwad a/w. Ms. Prerana Agawekar a/w.Ms.
Bhavana R. Khichi a/w. Mr. Bhagwan R. Gawali for petitioners.
Ms. Geetanjali Shinde, AGP for respondent Nos. 1 to 12.

.....

**CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : 3 FEBRUARY 2020

P.C.:-

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners.

2. The main contention advanced by the learned counsel for the Petitioners is that having regularized the services of the Petitioners the same should have been on the post which they had actually worked, that is on the post of Class III with the office of PWD. He states that the proposal was made in favour of the Petitioners but no steps have been taken.

3. Arguable questions are raised. **Rule.**

4. It is open to the Respondents to consider the proposal which is stated to be pending irrespective of the pendency of this Petition.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)