



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

PURTI  
PRASAD  
PARAB

Digitally signed by  
PURTI PRASAD  
PARAB  
Date: 2026.05.07  
18:00:06 +0530

**(918) WRIT PETITION NO. 6391 OF 2026**

Sangram Padmakar Shirsat and Ors. ... Petitioners  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

**AND**

**(8) WRIT PETITION NO. 6094 OF 2026**

Gajanan Narayan Chavan and Ors. ... Petitioners  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ... Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

Ms. Preeti Walimbe a/w Ms. Rutika Bhoir for the Petitioner.  
Mr. P.P. Kakade, Addl. G.P. a/w Ms. D.S. Deshmukh, AGP for  
Respondent – State in WP/6391/2026.  
Ms. M.P. Thakur, AGP for State in WP/6094/2026.  
Mr. Ashwin R. Kapadnis for Respondent No.4 and 5 in WP/6391/2026.  
Mr. Shridhar Patil for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 in WP/6094/2026.

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND  
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 6<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2026**

**P.C. :**

1. The judgment delivered by this Court at the Aurangabad Bench dated 10<sup>th</sup> April, 2026 in Writ Petition No. 2771 of 2026 (*Sanju Bhavsing Rathod and Ors. vs. State of Maharashtra and Ors.*), is said to have settled the issue taken up to the present Petitioners. The learned Additional G.P. submits that since it is a judicial pronouncement of the Court and the dictum applies to all CEOs of all the Zilla Parishads, a



message would be conveyed to all the Zilla Parishads to ensure that there is no disobedience of any Court's order.

2. Shri. Kapadnis appearing for the Zilla Parishad submits that he could not have asked for more.

3. In view of the above statements, these **two Petitions are disposed off.**

**(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)**

**(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)**