

MJ Jadhav

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 6524 OF 2016**

Baban Baburao Shendge And Ors. ... Petitioners

Vs.

State Of Maharashtra Through Secretary And ... Respondents
Ors.

Ms. Shruti Tulpule i/b Ms. Ulka Saranjame for the Petitioners.

Mr. Graham Francis i/b Mr. Vishwanath Talkute for Respondent
No.5(a).

CORAM : GAURI GODSE, J.

DATED : 23rd DECEMBER 2025

ORDER:

1. Learned counsels for the petitioners and respondent no.5(a) submit that that parties have amicably settled the dispute amongst themselves and they have prepared consent terms. They further point out that the parties have agreed to apply under Section 31A read with Section 32 of the Maharashtra Prevention of Fragmentation and Consolidation of Holdings Act, 1947.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners points out that by the impugned order the learned Minister has restored the original consolidation scheme of 1978-79. She relies upon the schedule

stated in paragraph (EE) of the memo of the petition. She further submits that the contesting respondents are only respondent nos.5(a), 5(b), 34 and 24 who had appeared before the learned Minister. However, respondent no.5(b) is not present and has not signed the consent terms agreed between the parties.

3. Learned counsels for the parties therefore seek time to verify whether the presence of remaining respondents would be necessary.

4. Learned counsel for respondent no.5(a) submits that he shall take instructions whether he can appear for respondent nos.5(b) and whether she would be agreeable to the terms and conditions agreed between the petitioners, respondent nos.5(a), 34(a) and 34(b).

5. To enable the learned counsels to verify the factual aspects, list the petition on 6th January 2026.

6. Learned advocate for the petitioners shall intimate this order to the learned AGP.

(GAURI GODSE, J.)