

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 7851 OF 2023

Vaishali Baban Dhumal ..Petitioner
Versus
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors ..Respondents.

.....

Mr. Uday Warunjikar i/b Mr. Aditya P. Kharkar for the Petitioner.
Mr. B.V. Samant, Addl. G.P. a/w Ms. K.S. Thorat, AGP for
Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

.....

CORAM : NITIN JAMDAR &
M.M.SATHAYE, JJ.

DATE : 2 FEBRUARY 2024

P.C.:

. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. From the arguments advanced, two aspects emerge. First is evidence relating to caste claim of the Petitioner and second is compliance with the directions of this Court as given in order dated 22 August 2022 in Writ Petition No. 517 of 2008. In this order Division Bench had observed thus:

“32. Now that the copies of the first two reports are furnished to Vaishali under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, the Scrutiny Committee shall forward the reasons for ordering a re-enquiry along with the materials referred hereinabove to enable Vaishali to make a

representation on such grounds as may be permissible in accordance with law. The Scrutiny Committee shall thereupon proceed with the enquiry.”

The reasons for ordering re-enquiry are not placed before us by the State. The reply affidavit on behalf of Scrutiny Committee stating as to whether the above order as reproduced is complied with, if not, the reasons for ordering re-enquiry be stated in the affidavit. Affidavit be filed by 1 March 2024.

3. Stand over to **12 March 2024**.

(M.M.SATHAYE, J.)

(NITIN JAMDAR, J.)