

rrpillai

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO. 508 OF 1999**

Shri Manikchand Jalamchand ... Appellants
Tatia and Others

Vs.

Shri Chindambaram Mulraj ... Respondents
and Company

**WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 7928 OF 2025
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 9438 OF 2024
IN
SECOND APPEAL NO. 508 OF 1999**

M. S. Chidambaram Mulraj ... Applicant
and Company Pvt. Ltd.

Vs.

Shri Manikchand Jalamchand ... Respondents
Tatia and Others

Mr. Prafulla Shah a/w. Ms. Gunjan Shah for the Appellants in
SA/508/1999.

Mr. Girish Godbole a/w. Mr. Shyam Kapadia, Ms. Jyoti Sinha
and Ms. Neha Thirani i/b. M/s. Khaitan & Co. for
Respondents in SA/508/1999.

CORAM : GAURI GODSE, J.

DATE : 17th SEPTEMBER 2025

ORDER :

1. Learned senior counsel for the respondents submits
that in order dated 15th September 2025 appearance on

behalf of respondent is wrongly typed as “Mr. Girish Agrawal” instead of “Mr. Girish Godbole”.

2. Appearance in order dated 15th September 2025 to be corrected for the respondents by mentioning “Mr. Girish Godbole” in place of “Mr. Girish Agrawal”.

3. Original order be corrected and corrected order to be uploaded.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent further points out that in order dated 9th September 2025 passed in Interim Application no. 7928 of 2025 also appearance needs to be corrected.

5. Appearance in order dated 9th September 2025 be corrected as follows:

“Ms. Jyoti Sinha a/w. Ms. Neha Thirani i/b. M/s. Khaitan & Co. for the Applicant.

Ms. Gunjan Shah for the Respondent.”

6. Original order be corrected and corrected order be uploaded.

7. I have substantially heard this second appeal. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the earlier application for clubbing this second appeal and Writ Petition No. 13339

of 2018 was rejected on the Administrative side. He further submits that by order dated 9th September 2019 passed in Writ Petition No. 13339 of 2018 the same is adjourned sine die with liberty to mention after decision of this second appeal.

8. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that he intends to file one more application for clubbing writ petition with this second appeal. He therefore seeks time. At his request list the second appeal on 1st October 2025. To be listed for final hearing on the daily board.

9. Learned senior counsel for the respondent submits that since the second appeal was listed for hearing, it was directed that Interim Application No.7928 of 2025 shall be heard alongwith the second appeal. He submits that since the second appeal is now adjourned at the request of the appellant, respondents interim application be heard.

10. It is therefore clarified that on 1st October 2025 if the second appeal is not heard for final disposal, the interim application shall be heard. No further adjournment shall be granted in the interim application.

[GAURI GODSE, J.]