



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 4668/2023**

**IN**

**CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 1125/2014**

**HARINATH RAM MANOHAR YADAV & ANR. .... APPLICANTS**

**IN THE MATTER BETWEEN**

**HARINATH RAM MANOHAR YADAV & ANR. .... APPLICANTS**

**VS**

**SUBHAS R. SHARMA & ORS. .... RESPONDENTS**

Adv. Rajesh B. Parab i/b. Adv. A. K. Saxena for the Applicants.

Adv. Rameez Khan i/b. Adv. Vikas K. Singh for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : RAJESH S. PATIL, J.**

**DATE : 23 APRIL, 2026**

**P.C. :-**

- 1) This interim application is filed to bring on record the legal heirs of the deceased applicant no.2.
- 2) There is delay in filing this application.
- 3) Mr. Khan, learned advocate appearing for the respondent has opposed this application.
- 4) I have gone through the contents of the interim application.



- 5) The Division Bench of this Court in *Keshao s/o. Kawadu Maral and another Versus State of Maharashtra and others*, reported in *2005 (1) MahLJ 1059*, condoned the delay of six years in filing the Application of bringing the legals heirs on record, relying on the Supreme Court judgment of *Sardar Amarjit Singh Karla (dead) by LRS. and others Versus Pramod Gupta (Smt.) (dead) by LRS. and others, reported in 2003 (3) SCC 272*.
- 6) Taking into consideration the law laid down in the above judgments and for the reasons stated in the application, I am convinced that this Interim Application requires to be allowed.
- 7) The present **Interim Application is allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a), (b) and (c)**.
- 9) Amendment to be carried out within a period of two weeks from today.
- 10) **Interim application stands disposed of.**
- 11) Place civil revision application on board for final hearing on **29 June, 2026**.

(RAJESH S. PATIL, J.)