

varsha

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO. 1889 OF 2023**

Shilpa Prashant Patil

... Petitioner

vs.

Prashant Pundalik Patil and Anr

... Respondents

Ms. Pooja Jalan a/w. Raturaj Pawar i/b. Mr. Dheeraj Patil for  
Petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Jadhav for Respondent.

Mr. A.R. Metkari, APP for Respondent-State.

**CORAM : GAURI GODSE, J.**

**DATED : 15<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER 2024**

**ORDER :-**

1. By order dated 18<sup>th</sup> September 2024, the petitioner was permitted to meet the daughter on 11<sup>th</sup> October 2024 and 13<sup>th</sup> October 2024. In spite of the said directions, the petitioner did not go to Belgaum on the aforesaid dates on a spacious ground that respondent no.1 did not intimate her about the arrangement for her stay.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further also submits that the petitioner had received whatsapp message at 10:00 pm on 10<sup>th</sup>

October 2024, about the arrangement to stay at Belgaum.

3. Though the directions were issued permitting the petitioner to meet the daughter, I do not see any reason why the petitioner did not go to Belgaum. Thus, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in any amicable settlement.

4. List the petition for 'admission' on 16<sup>th</sup> December 2024.

**(GAURI GODSE, J.)**