



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3709 OF 2019**  
**IN**  
**FIRST APPEAL (ST.) NO.14657 OF 2009**

Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai ... Applicant.  
***Versus***  
Chandrakant Shankar Mhapankar and Ors. ... Respondents.

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Ms. Pallavi Khare, for the Applicant-Corporation.*  
*None for the Respondents.*  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Coram : Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.**

**Date : January 14, 2025**

**P. C. :**

1. Civil Application has been preferred for bringing on record the legal heirs of the deceased-Respondent. Despite the delay of 2 years and 142 days, there is no prayer in the Application for condonation of delay. Leave to amend to incorporate the prayer for condonation of delay of 2 years and 142 days. Amendment to be carried out forthwith. Re-verification is dispensed with.
2. Office noting indicates that the notice of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have been returned with Bailiffs remark "not found and notice was pasted". As far as Respondent No.3 is concerned, the same has been returned with Bailiffs remark as "expired" and notice of Respondent No.4 has been returned with Bailiffs remark "want of full and detailed address".

**3.** As far as the Respondent Nos.3 and 4 are concerned, let the necessary steps be taken within a period of four weeks, failing which the Application to stand abated *qua* the Respondent No.3 and stands dismissed as against the Respondent No.4 without further reference to this Court.

**4.** As the notice *qua* the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 is pasted as per the Bailiffs remark, list the Application for further consideration on **27<sup>th</sup> January, 2025**.

**[Sharmila U. Deshmukh, J.]**