



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1452 OF 2016

Pushpa Pramod Laxman Shenoy .. Appellant
Versus
Pramod Laxman Radhakrishna Shenoy & Ors. .. Respondents

WITH
CROSS OBJECTION (ST) NO. 10744 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1452 OF 2016

Pramod Laxman Radhakrishna Shenoy & Ors. .. Appellant
Versus
Pushpa Pramod Laxman Shenoy .. Respondents

WITH
CROSS OBJECTION (ST) NO. 14169 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1452 OF 2016

Madhu Nisha Sharma @
Madhu Sharma @
Nisha Agnihotri .. Appellant
Versus
Pushpa Pramod Laxman Shenoy .. Respondents

-
- Mr. Aseem Naphade a/w Mr. Suraj Iyer a/w Ms. Deepanjali and Ms. Kavita Sharma i/by M/s. Ganesh & Co., Advocates for Appellant in FA 1452/2016
 - Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are present in person
 - Mr. K.K. Jadhav a/w Mr. J.K. Jadhav, Advocate for Respondent No. 3 - Central Bank of India

.....
CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.
DATE : DECEMBER 23, 2025



P. C.:

1. Heard learned Advocates appearing for the Appellant and Respondent No.3 and Respondent Nos.1 and 2 appearing in-person.
2. This case has been assigned for hearing to this Court in view of order dated 16.12.2025 by the Hon'ble The Chief Justice and directed to be listed before this Court on 17.12.2025. This case comprises of three proceedings viz. First Appeal No. 1452/2016, Cross Objection (St) No. 10744/2017 filed by Respondent No.1 and Cross Objection (St) No. 14169/2017 filed by Respondent No.2.
3. On 17.12.2025 the case was listed before this Court on which date in view of the ignominy faced by Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and request made by them, final hearing of the proceedings was fixed today i.e. 23.12.2025 at 3.00 p.m.. Mr. Naphade, learned Advocate for Appellants in the First Appeal filed by his client argued the matter. While the Court was deliberating with Mr. Naphade on the impugned judgment, Respondent No.1 has repeatedly throughout kept interrupting the Court proceedings and interjecting and disturbing the proceedings by speaking loudly with Mr. Naphade and Appellant No.1 sitting in Court. This Court tolerated his interjections for quite sometime because of his age but nicety of the Court was taken by Respondent No. 1 to his advantage and at around 4.33 p.m. at one time when his interjections continued and disrupted the Court



proceedings, Defendant No. 1 was asked by the Court to keep quiet and not disturb the Court proceedings to which he shouted and retorted back at the Court and told the Court not to dictate to him. Such indiscipline and lack of decorum can never be tolerated by this Court. This matter was listed on 17.12.2025 for directions and on that date itself Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 were both informed by Court that they will conduct themselves prudently if they are appearing in person. What I find is that Respondent No. 1 is taking advantage of his old age and he does not conduct himself with discipline at all. I need to state that immediately after 17.12.2025 on 18.12.2025, Respondent No. 1 addressed a representation to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice seeking a direction to this Court that I should hear the matter on 23.12.2025 at 3.00 p.m. as fixed when the matter was actually fixed for final hearing on that date. On that date i.e. 18.12.2025 Respondent No. 1 entered the Court Hall at about 4.00 p.m. to give his representation to the Court when the Court was in session and was hearing a part-heard Suit proceedings which was a specially assigned matter. He repeatedly kept talking and disturbed the Court while hearing was in progress and kept speaking to the Advocates sitting in Court loudly and kept passing loud comments against the Court. Considering the old age of Respondent No. 1, this Court did not



interject or intervene but after sometime Respondent No. 1 was escorted outside the Courtroom by the Police.

4. Today when the matter began considering the old age of Respondent No. 1, his repeated interjections and interruptions were ignored by this Court initially but beyond a point this Court is also helpless. When litigants do not have faith in the Court and time and again keep distributing the Court proceedings and hearing, Court can do very little.

5. In view of the above behaviour and disturbing conduct of Respondent. 1, present matter is directed to be removed from Board and order is passed for the matter not to be listed before this Court.

6. Copy of this order shall be placed before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice for his information.

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

Amberkar

Digitally signed
by RAVINDRA
MOHAN
AMBERKAR
Date: 2025.12.24
11:15:00
+0530