

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.5947 OF 2026

Rohan Laxman Mhatre and others ... Petitioners

Vs.

Maharashtra State Road Development Corporation
Limited and others ... Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.16827 OF 2025
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.16209 OF 2025

Mr. Rahul Thakur a/w. Ms. Nikita Patil and Ms. Rajdepa Madhavi for
Petitioners.

Mr. Akshay P. Shinde for Respondent No.3.

Ms. Pooja Patil AGP for Respondent Nos.5 to 11-State.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : MAY 05, 2026

P.C. :

. Heard Mr. Thakur, learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that in principle they do not oppose development work or construction of the subject road. However, the manner in which the respondents are proceeding is leading to violation of the rights of the petitioners.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners suggests that if a fresh joint measurement of the subject land is carried out, it would clarify the position and it would inure to the benefit of all the concerned stakeholders. In that light, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to amend the writ petitions to add the District Inspector of Land

Records, District Raigad as a party respondent and also to add relevant pleadings and prayers in that context. It is submitted that the petitioners are ready to bear the expenses of such an exercise of joint measurement, which this Court may consider as an interim measure during the pendency of this petition.

4. In view of the above and in the interest of justice, leave is granted to the petitioners to add the District Inspector of Land Records, District Raigad as party respondent and also to add relevant pleadings and prayers in that context in this petition. Amendment shall be carried out, as also the amended papers shall be served upon the respondents, within a week from today.

5. Issue notice, returnable on 18.06.2026, High on Board.

6. Ms. Patil, learned AGP waives notice on behalf of respondent Nos.5 to 11. Mr. Shinde, learned counsel waives notice on behalf of respondent No.3 Authority.

7. *Humdast* granted for respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4.

8. It is made clear that on the returnable date, this Court will consider the aforementioned interim direction being sought on behalf of the petitioners.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)