

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 246 OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1834 OF 2024

Mehvish Salim Mansuri ...Petitioner
Versus
Shahbaz Farook Mansuri ...Respondent

Ms. Aparajita R. Jha a/w Mr. Sarosh Krishnan i/b Mr. Naqeeb
Gadhwal for the Petitioner.

Mr. Usman Menon for the Respondent Nos.1 to 5.

Ms. Supriya Kak, A.G.P for the Respondent-State.

**CORAM : SARANG V. KOTWAL &
SANDESH D. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 5th MAY, 2026**

P.C. :

1. This is a contempt petition. The petitioner is making grievance that the respondents have committed contempt of the order dated 3rd October, 2025 passed in Criminal Writ Petition No. 1834 of

2024. The said petition was for quashing of the FIR registered vide C.R.No. 134 of 2022 dated 26th March, 2022 with Pydhonie Police Station, Mumbai, under Sections 498-A, 406, 504, 506, 323 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code and the subsequent proceeding vide Case No. PW/0201021/2023 before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, 2nd Court, Mazgaon, Mumbai. The petition was filed for quashing of those proceedings.

2. The order dated 3rd October, 2025 recorded that the parties had entered into Consent Terms. According to the Consent Terms, the first informant i.e. the present petitioner (the respondent No.2 in the said petition) was to get a consolidated sum of Rs.11,40,000/- which she had received. Para 6 of the said order is important which records that the petitioner No.1 in the petition (the respondent No.1 in the present Contempt Petition) had filed the additional affidavit dated 3rd October, 2025 executed before the notary. He was present in the Court. Learned Counsel for him had identified him. In that additional affidavit, he had undertaken to pay

Rs.10,000/- per month in the bank account of the present petitioner (respondent No.2 in the said Criminal Writ Petition) for the benefit of their daughter, till she got married. The additional affidavit was taken on record.

In that background, the first informant had given no objection for quashing of the proceedings. Para 8 records that in that background, we had allowed that Writ Petition and had quashed the proceedings.

3. Now the grievance is made by the petitioner herein that the respondent No.1 herein is not adhering to his additional affidavit dated 3rd October, 2025 and is not paying Rs.10,000/- per month. As of today, there are arrears of Rs.70,000/-.

4. We made it clear that if the order is not followed, then we may recall the order and the criminal proceedings can be revived. However, at this stage, learned Counsel for the respondent No.1 tendered a Demand Draft of Rs.50,000/- in the name of the present

petitioner.

5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has accepted it in the Court. Learned Counsel for the respondent No.1 submitted that the respondent No.1 would like to have discussion with the petitioner. At this stage, we are not entering into this fact.

6. Today, we are adjourning the matter. It is needless to add that the respondent No.1 will have to honour his commitment made before the Court which is recorded in order dated 3rd October, 2025 in Criminal Writ Petition No. 1834 of 2024.

7. For the time being, we are adjourning the matter to 1st July, 2026.

SANDESH D. PATIL, J.

SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.