

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO. 9993 OF 2025

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
<p data-bbox="264 607 994 689">Ld. Advocate Rushikesh Salunke, i/by Advocate Dilip Bodake, for the Petitioner is present.</p> <p data-bbox="485 768 975 851" style="text-align: center;">CORAM : H. M. BHOSALE REGISTRAR (JUDL-I)</p> <p data-bbox="485 887 836 920" style="text-align: center;">Date : 09/04/2025</p> <p data-bbox="304 1010 963 1352">The aforesaid writ petition was notified on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2025 for removal of office objections as provided under Rule 3 sub rule (ii) of the Chapter XVII of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960 (for short 'Rules 1960').</p> <p data-bbox="304 1429 963 1834">The learned Advocate and the Petitioner/s have failed to remove office objections. Therefore, by virtue of Rule 3 sub rule (iii) of Chapter XVII of the Rules 1960, the aforesaid Writ Petition is listed on the board of Registrar (Judicial-I).</p>	

Sub-rule (iii) of Rule 3 of Chapter XVII provides that if office objections are not removed, after notifying the same under Rule 3 sub rule (ii), the Registrar **shall** refuse registration of the matters.

However, it would not be proper to straightway refuse the registration. It would be just and proper to give one more opportunity to the Petitioner/s and their Advocate to remove office objections.

In turn, two weeks time is granted to the Petitioners and the learned Advocate to remove office objections. On failure, appropriate order as contemplated under Rule 3 sub rule (iii) of Chapter XVII of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, 1960 will be passed.

Sd/-

Registrar (Judicial-I)