

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 245 OF 2026
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 9749 OF 2023

Ravindra Gopal KaduPetitioner

Versus

Rameshchandra S Nayyar President
Lonavala Education Trust & Ors.Respondents

Mr. Akshay S. Karlekar for the Petitioner.

Mr. Nitin P. Deshpande, for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. P. P. Kakade, Addl. GP. a/w Ms. P. N. Diwan, AGP for
Respondent-State.

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
&
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 23rd APRIL, 2026

P.C. :-

1. We have perused the final Order passed by the Writ Court dated 29th January, 2026 in Writ Petition No. 9749 of 2023, more particularly Paragraph Nos. 18 and 19. The said Judgment has not been challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The learned Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3, submits that a Review Petition No. 41 of 2026 has been filed.

Digitally
signed by
MANE
SONALI
DILIP
Date:
2026.04.24
11:04:47
+0530

2. We have perused the Order of this Court. The pendency of the Review Petition cannot be a ground for disobedience of the Order of this Court. The final Order is delivered on 29th January, 2026.

3. The learned Advocate causing an appearance on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3, submits that he would file an affidavit in reply. *Prima facie*, we find that Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 have not complied with the Order of this Court. The role of Respondent No.3 would come subsequently.

4. As such, issue notice under the Contempt of Courts Act to Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. The learned Advocate waives service of notice on behalf Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. He desires to file affidavit in reply. Let the reply be filed on or before 12th June, 2026.

5. **List the Contempt Petition on 25th June, 2026** in the Special Pension Category Board. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 would remain present in the Court proceedings.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.) (RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)