



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 400 OF 2024

Rachana Tushar Patil ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr Narendra V. Bandiwadekar (Senior Advocate), Adv. Vinayak R. Kumbhar, Adv. Rajendra B. Khaire, Adv. Aniket S. Phapale i/by Adv. Ashwini N. Bandiwadekar - for the Petitioner.
Mrs. Kavita N. Solunke, Additional GP a/w Smt. R. A. Salunkhe, AGP, for the Respondent-State.
Mr Rajshekhar Govilkar Senior Advocate, Shaba N. Khan i/by Adv. Mihir Govilkar, for the Respondent No. 5.
Mr. P. V. Dhopatkar, for the Respondent No. 4.

**CORAM: SUMAN SHYAM &
S. M. MODAK, JJ.**

DATED: 05th DECEMBER 2025.

PC:-

1. Mrs. Solunke, the learned Addl. GP has tendered the reply on behalf of the Respondent No. 2, which has already been filed online. The learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as Respondent No. 4 both want some time to examine the reply and file rejoinder, if any. Prayer is allowed.
2. Meanwhile, Mr. Bandiwadekar, the learned Senior Counsel for the Petitioner has pointed out that till the date the Management



has not paid the arrears due despite the dismissal of SLP by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

3. Mr. Govilkar, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 5, has submitted that the arrears due of the Petitioner would be 70 Lakhs approximately, but in view of the pendency of the curative petition, before the Supreme Court, hence, at this stage, the Respondent No. 5 be permitted to deposit only 50% of the said amount, without prejudice to the rights and contention of the Respondent No. 5 in the matter.

4. Having heard the submission made at the bar, we direct this matter be listed again on **23.12.2025, high on board.**

5. A sum of Rs. 35 Lakhs shall be deposited by the Respondent No. 5, before the Registry of this Court on or before 19th December 2025.

(S. M. MODAK, J.)

(SUMAN SHYAM, J.)