

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 375 OF 2019**

A.B.Corporation & Anr. ...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

\*\*\*\*\*

Mr.Prashant Pawar for the Applicants.  
Mr.N.B.Patil, APP for Respondent No.1-State.

\*\*\*\*\*

**CORAM : S.S.SHINDE J.  
DATE : 18 JUNE, 2019**

**P.C.:**

1. Not on board. On mentioning, taken on production board.
2. A praecipe has been filed by learned Counsel appearing for the applicants for speaking to minutes of the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> June, 2019.
3. It appears that Advocate Ms.Anubha Rastogi has waived service of notice on behalf of respondent No.2. Inadvertently, the same remained to be mentioned in the order. Hence, in clause No.3, the following sentence be added.

**“The learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.2”.**

4. Necessary correction be carried out in the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> June, 2019 and after carrying out necessary correction, fresh order be uploaded, and then fresh writ needs to be issued.
  
5. The praecipe stands disposed of accordingly.

**(S.S.SHINDE, J.)**