

Ajay

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 126 OF 2013  
WITH  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2015  
IN  
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 126 OF 2013**

Shankar Sitaram Gopale

.. Applicant

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra and Anr.

.. Respondents

- .....
- Mr. Vijayprakash Yadav, Advocate for Applicant.
  - Ms. Manisha R. Tidke, APP for the State.
- .....

**CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.**

**DATE : NOVEMBER 11, 2024.**

**P.C.:**

**1.** Heard Mr. Yadav, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms. Tidke, learned APP for the State.

**2.** Mr. Yadav would submit that Mr. Devmani Shukla and himself has filed joint vakalatnama in the present Criminal Revision Application (for short “**CRA**”), but both of them have been discharged by this Court on 17.04.2014. This Court has passed an order to that effect. I have perused the said order.

**3.** In that view of the matter, he would submit that today he has appeared before the Court only because there were directions contained in paragraph Nos.5 and 7 of the order dated 07.10.2024.

He would also bring to notice that one Mr. Santosh Singh represents the Applicant. His name appears in the order dated 17.04.2014.

**4.** Today, when the CRA is called out, none is present for Applicant. One last opportunity is given to the Applicant to remain present on the next adjourned date. In view of the reasons which are stated in the order dated 07.10.2024, present CRA is adjourned by one week to enable the Applicant to remain present.

**5.** On the next adjourned date, if Applicant does not remain present, this Court shall not await his presence and dismiss the present CRA as noted by this Court in the earlier orders and also the previous order dated 07.10.2024, for the specific reasons stated therein.

**6.** Stand over to **18<sup>th</sup> November 2024.**

**[ MILIND N. JADHAV, J. ]**

Ajay

Digitally signed  
by AJAY  
TRAMBAK  
UGALMUGALE  
Date: 2024.11.11  
18:12:17 +0530