

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 76 OF 2025

Ashwani Bhatia and Ors.

... Applicants

Versus

Sapan Shrivastava and Anr.

... Respondents

.....

Mr. Tushar Mehta, Solicitor General a/w. Mr. Omprakash Jha, Mr. Abhishek Puri, Mr. Siddhant Trivedi and Ms. Surbhi Gupta i/b. The Law Point, Advocates for the Applicants.

Mr. H.S.Venegavkar a/w. Mrs. Poonam Bhosale, APP for the State.

Mr. Sapan Shrivastava, Respondent No.1 present-in-person.

Additional DCP, ACB, Mumbai – Rajendra Sangle present.

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATED : 4th MARCH, 2025.

P. C. :

1. Heard learned Solicitor General for the applicants, learned APP for the State and respondent No.1 party in person.
2. The respondent No.1 seeks time to file reply.
3. After hearing learned Solicitor General, learned APP and the respondent No.1 and after going through the impugned order passed by learned Special Court, it prima facie appears that learned Judge has passed the order mechanically, without going into details and without attributing any specific role to the applicants hence impugned order is stayed till the further orders.
4. Stand over to **1st April, 2025** for filing reply.

(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)

This order is corrected as per speaking to minutes of order dated 05.03.2025

1/1