

SSK

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 150 OF 2011**

M/s. Yadav Consultancy Services Pvt. Ltd. ....Applicant  
Versus  
Maruti Ramchadra Panhalkar & anr. ...Respondents

Mr. S. V. Kotwal i/b. Mr. M. S. Mohite, advocate for the applicant.  
Mr. A. R. Patil, APP for the State-respondent No.2.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE, J.**

**DATED : 3<sup>rd</sup> JANUARY, 2013.**

**P.C.:**

The revision arises out of conviction under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. The cheque amount was Rs.15,000/-. By the impugned order, the applicant is sentenced to suffer SI for 15 days and directed to pay compensation of Rs.15,000/- and in default, they were further sentenced to SI for 5 more days.

2. In pursuance of order dated 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2011, the applicant has deposited an amount of Rs.20,000/- in this Court. The respondent does not appear, despite service of notice of this revision. In these circumstances, Rule. Hearing is expedited.

3. The ad-interim order granted on 7<sup>th</sup> July, 2011, shall remain in operation during the pendency of the revision.

4. Respondent No.1 is at liberty to withdraw the amount of Rs.20,000/- deposited by the applicant.

**(RANJIT MORE, J.)**