

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 150 OF 2011

Yadav Consultancy Services Pvt.Ltd. & Anr....Applicants
vs.
Maruti Ramchandra Panhalkar & Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.M.S. Mohite for the Applicants.
Mrs.Geeta Mulekar, APP for the State.

CORAM : A.V. POTDAR, J.

MARCH 9, 2012

P.C. :-

1 Mr.M.S. Mohite, learned Counsel appears for the applicants and Mrs.Geeta Mulekar, learned APP appears for respondent no.2-State. None for respondent no.1 even though duly served.

2 It appears as per the directions given by this Court on 7th July, 2011, the applicant has deposited an amount of Rs.20,000/- in this Court on 25th July, 2011. It further appears that the directions were given to issue notice for final disposal returnable on 4th August, 2011. Perusal of the impugned judgment passed by the Appellate Court and particularly, observations in paragraphs 12, 13 and 14 of the judgment of Appellate Court, it is necessary to peruse the original record and proceeding to consider the observations in these three paragraphs which will

decide the fate of this criminal application. Perusal of the record shows that no order was passed to call for record and proceeding.

3 In view of this, issue fresh notice to respondent no.1 with clear indication that the revision application will be finally heard at the stage of admission. Notice returnable in eight weeks. In the meantime, call for record and proceeding.

4 List the revision application for final hearing on receipt of record and proceeding.

(A.V. POTDAR, J.)