

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY****CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION****WRIT PETITION NO. 12906 of 2023**

All PUC Centers Owners Association .. Petitioner  
through Secretary

Versus

State of Maharashtra through Principal .. Respondents  
Secretary and anr

...

Mr. Suhas S. Deokar with Mr.Tanmay M. Shembavanekar for the  
petitioner  
Smt. S.A. Prabhune, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : BHARATI DANGRE &  
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ**

**DATED : 4<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2026**

**ORAL JUDGMENT:-**

1 Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith.

1 The petitioner, All PUC Centers Owners Association filed this Writ Petition in the year 2023, seeking formulation of Committee to decide the formula for revision of rates periodically for Pollution (PUC) testing station/Center. The petition also seek a relief that the Committee shall comprise of an office bearer of the Union, as he can offer the inputs on behalf of the Association.

Responding to the petition, the Joint Transport Commissioner (Enf-2) Maharashtra State has affirmed an affidavit on 29/4/2026 where a following statement is made :-



“10 I say and submit that the report and recommendations of the Committee, as forwarded by the Transport Commissioner vide communication dated 27/10/2025, are presently under consideration with respondent no.1. Hence, the same are not annexed hereto. I respectfully say and submit that, if so directed by this Hon’ble Court, the respondents shall produce the said report and recommendations before this Hon’ble Court for its kind perusal.

11 I say and submit that, in the said communication, the Committee has recommended that the rates for PUC testing may be structured in a manner that ensures long-term stability and predictability, and has further suggested that a mechanism be adopted whereby rates may be revised by 10% after every three years, so as to account for inflationary trends, operational costs and technological upgrades, while avoiding frequent and abrupt revisions.

12. I say and submit that the said proposal and recommendations are presently under consideration of respondent no.1 i.e. State Government. In view of the ongoing consideration, it is evident that the respondents are actively seized of the issue and are taking necessary steps to address the concerns raised by stakeholders including the petitioner.”

2. In light of the aforesaid statement, since we are informed that this issue is already under consideration of the Transport Department of State of Maharashtra, we permit the petitioners to address a detail representation, highlighting its grievance and we direct the Principal Secretary, Transport Department who has already received input from the Transport Commissioner to take the same into consideration.

Since the demand of the petitioners is pending for considerable length of time, we expect the State Government to take a decision as expeditiously as possible and in no case later than four months from the receipt of the order.

The learned AGP shall communicate the order to the State Government.

Writ Petition is disposed of.

**(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J)**

**(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)**