



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2901 OF 2011

Anil Gajanan Mane .. Petitioner
Versus
The Thane Janata Sahakari Bank Ltd .. Respondent

.....
• Mr. Nitin A. Kulkarni, Advocate for Petitioner

.....
CORAM : MILIND N. JADHAV, J.

DATE : JULY 14, 2025

P. C.:

1. Heard Mr. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for Petitioner.
2. Record in the present case *prima facie* shows that Respondent is not served till date. Rule was granted in the Petition on 13.04.2011 after hearing Advocate for Petitioner. Thereafter it is the duty of the Advocate to ensure that copy of Petition and order granting Rule is served by humdust. However Advocate for Petitioner has not taken any steps which is evident form the record maintained by the Registry in the Petition. It is only when the matter was circulated before me on 04.07.2025 that the matter was listed for hearing today. Even in the last 10 days, Advocate for Petitioner did not think it fit or necessary to serve the Respondent Bank.
3. In that view of the matter, issue notice to the Respondent made returnable on 28.07.2025 Humdast permitted. In addition to Court's



notice, Petitioner is directed to serve copy of the Petition along with copy of this order on the Respondent and inform about the next date of hearing by any permissible mode of service and file appropriate affidavit of service with tangible proof thereof on or before the next date.

4. After receiving the notice, Respondent is directed to remain present on the next adjourned date either through its authorized officer or pleader after giving appropriate instructions. If any affidavit in reply is to be filed, the same be filed by the next date.

5. Stand over to **28th July, 2025.**

[MILIND N. JADHAV, J.]

Amberkar

Digitally signed
by RAVINDRA
MOHAN
AMBERKAR
Date:
2025.07.14
17:47:56 +0530