



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO. 3485 OF 2009

Dr. Rekha Ramchandra Rao ... Petitioner  
*Versus*  
The State Of Maharashtra And Ors. ... Respondents

---

Advocate Vinayak K. a/w Advocate Rajendra B. Khaire i/b  
Advocate Ashwini Bandiwadekar, for the Petitioner.

Advocate Anilkumar Patil a/w Advocate Laxmikant Patil, for the  
Respondent No. 5.

---

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND  
ASHWIN D. BHOBE, JJ.**

**DATE : 5<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.**

**P.C. :**

1. The Registry had wrongly listed this Petition Suo-moto for speaking to the minutes of the Judgment dated 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2025, before a bench which had not passed the said order. Not noticing the fact that our Bench, (Coram: Ravindra V. Ghuge and Justice Ashwin D. Bhoobe, JJ) had delivered the Judgment, a Bench (Coram: Justice Ravindra V. Ghuge and Justice Hiten S. Venegavkar, JJ) passed an order for correcting the minutes on 28.04.2026. The order was signed. Later on, it was noticed that



the Coram which delivered the Judgment dated 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2025, was different, that this matter is listed before this Bench which had delivered the Judgment on 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2025.

2. This Court had delivered a Judgment on 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2025. The Petition was partly allowed in terms of prayer clauses (b) and (d). Both these prayers were copied below Paragraph No.11 in the Judgment. In line no. 8 in the prayer clause (d), the Petitioner has wrongly typed the word “**career**” instead of “**earlier**”.

3. The learned Advocate for the Petitioner tenders an apology and submits that the correction in the prayer clause in the Petition would be carried out forthwith. Liberty granted.

4. In view of the above, the word “**career**” in Paragraph No. 11 on Page No.13 of the Judgment, be replaced by the word “**earlier**”. The corrected Judgment be uploaded.

(ASHWIN D. BHOBE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)