

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

REVISION APPLICATION NO. 187 OF 2023

Satish Chandra Jhanwar S/o
Laxminarayan Jhanwar ...Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
CBI-BS-FC- Mumbai ...Respondent

**ALONGWITH
REVISION APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2023
ALONGWITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 1408 OF 2025**

Prakash Chandra Srivastava
S-O Rameshwar Dayal ...Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
CBI-BS-FC- Mumbai ...Respondent

Adv. Karan Bhosale a/w Datta Mane	Advocate for the Applicant in CRA No. 187 of 2023
Adv. Kuldeep Patil a/w Adv. Sanika Joshi	Advocate for the Respondent (CBI)

CORAM : S. M. MODAK, J.

DATE : 14th AUGUST 2025

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned Advocate Shri Karan Bhosale for the Applicant-

accused and learned Advocate Shri Kuldeep Patil for CBI.

2. Earlier this revision application was dismissed as per the order dated 05.08.2024. The learned Judge (Coram Sandeep V. Marne) has considered the averments in the affidavit-in-reply filed by the CBI and rejected the prayer for discharge. There was order of the trial Court refusing to discharge the Applicant. By that order, Learned Single Judge also dismissed the Criminal Revision Application 188 of 2023 filed by co-accused Prakash.

3. These orders were taken exception before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and SLP filed by this Applicant-Satish was disposed of with direction to Applicant-accused to file charge-sheet and then this Court to decide it. The SLP filed by co-accused-Prakash was also disposed of on the same line. His revision is also restored.

4. The Applicant has produced compilation of the documents. It is taken on record. According to learned Advocate Mr. Bhosale, it consists of the relevant pages on which CBI relied for showing the involvement of the Applicant. The compilation is given to the other side.

5. Let the CBI to check whether all the relevant pages on which they place reliance are part of this compilation.

6. Learned Advocate Mr. Bhosale submitted that now the matter is fixed before the trial court for framing of the charge on 26.08.2025. He is asking for some interim relief. Learned Advocate Mr. Patil has invited my attention to the observations in para no. 7 of the order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.
7. In view of the above, even if the charge is framed by the trial Court, it is made clear that, that order will be subject to the outcome of this revision application. So to say, if the Applicant succeeds in this revision, the order of framing of the charge will be set aside. It is also made clear that in case the prosecution intends to start with recording of the evidence, certainly, the Applicant has got right to request this Court for deferring the recording of the evidence.
8. Stand over to **09th October 2025.**

[S. M. MODAK, J.]