



Salgaonkar

MANDIRA MILIND
SALGAONKAR

Digitally signed by MANDIRA
SALGAONKAR
Date: 2023.03.04 19:20:39 +0530

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONFIRMATION CASE NO.01 OF 2023

State of Maharashtra .. Appellant
Versus
Chetan Yashwantrao Pagare & Anr. .. Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.116 OF 2023
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.3573 OF 2025

Aman Prakatsingh Jat .. Appellant
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Ors. .. Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.108 OF 2023
WITH
INTERIM APPLICATION NO.423 OF 2023

Chetan Yashwantrao Pagare .. Appellant
Versus
State of Maharashtra & Anr. .. Respondents

...

Mr.J.P.Yagnik, A.P.P. for the Appellant/State in CONF/1/23 and for the Respondent in APEAL/116/23 and APEAL/423/23.

Mr.Rachit Singh with Mr.Abhishek Bandekar h/f Mr.Nitin Satpute for the Appellant in APEAL/108/23 and for the Respondent in CONF/1/23.

Mr.S.T.Pandey with Ms.Kajal Upadhyay and Mr.Suraj Dubey i/b



Mr.S.T.Pandey for the Appellant in APEAL/116/23 and for the Respondent in CONF/1/23.

**CORAM: BHARATI DANGRE &
MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

DATE : 04th MARCH, 2026

...

P.C:-

1. Confirmation Case No.01 of 2023 is listed before us today alongwith two Appeals filed by the two accused persons, namely, Chetan Pagare and Aman Jat. Though the Appeals alongwith Confirmation Case were directed to be listed for final hearing at 3.00 p.m. as per order dated 16/01/2026 and we accordingly had directed the Superintendent, Nagpur Central Prison to secure the presence of the accused persons before us, today the hearing cannot proceed as we are informed by Mr.Rachit Singh, the proxy counsel representing Advocate Nitin Satpute, that for medical reasons, he is unable to attend the Court proceedings today. We are also informed that he is not attending the Court since last two weeks on account of his ill health.

Left with no other alternative than to adjourn the hearing of the Confirmation Case alongwith the two Appeals, we re-notify the proceedings to **15/04/2026 at 3.00 p.m.**

The learned counsel appearing for accused-Aman Jat, Appellant in Appeal No.116 of 2023 is very reluctant for the adjournment, as according to him, his client is incarcerated for more than twelve years.

Mr.Yagnik, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State, is ready with the matter, but in absence of the counsel representing the Appellant in Appeal



No.108 of 2023, we do not deem it fit to proceed with the matter, as once we start with the Confirmation Case, we want hearing of the Appeals in continuation.

2. By way of last opportunity being given to Advocate Nitin Satpute, we direct listing of the Confirmation Case alongwith two Appeals on 15th April, 2026, with a clear understanding that if the hearing is not concluded on the said date, which is to commence at 3.00 p.m., the matters shall be rolled over on **16th April, 2026**.

3. Since the accused persons are present before us through video conferencing, the Superintendent, Nagpur Central Prison to inform them about the next date of hearing and ensure their presence, either physically or through video conferencing facility, on 15th April, 2026 at 3.00 p.m.

4. Registrar (Judicial-II) shall communicate our order to the Superintendent, Nagpur Central Prison. Similarly, Mr.Yagnik will also intimate the said order to the Superintendent, so that the accused persons are produced before us on the next date of hearing.

(MANJUSHA DESHPANDE, J.)

(BHARATI DANGRE, J.)