



*Tikam*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 549 OF 2026

Iram Samir Ansari ...Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

---

Mr. Narayan G. Rokade a/w. Mrunmai K. Rokade, Advocate for Applicant.  
Mr.S.S. Pednekar, APP for Respondent-State.

---

CORAM : SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.

DATE : 4<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.

**P.C. :**

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant and learned APP for the State.
2. By this application, the applicant is seeking regular bail in Crime No. 304/2025 registered with Yeola City Police Station for the offence punishable under Sections 8(C), 21(A) and 21(B) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and Sections 123, 275 and 278 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').
3. It is prosecution's case that on 24/09/2025, around 14.32 pm. police raided one godown and in the said godown, police found contraband of Rs.3,38,676/-. It is alleged that the said godown belongs to the applicant.
4. It is contention of learned counsel for the Applicant that no



*Tikam*

search warrant as provided under NDPS Act was taken prior to raid on the said godown as raid was conducted after sunset and before sunrise. The main allegations are against the husband of the applicant. Applicant is housewife. She has no role in the present crime. She is behind bars more than six months. She is having small children and requested to allow the application.

5. It is contention of learned APP that the godown was standing in the name of applicant where contraband was found, which shows involvement of the Applicant. If she is released on bail, she may abscond or threaten prosecution witnesses and requested to reject the application.

6. I have heard both learned counsel. Perused charge sheet and documents produced on record. It appears from record that main allegations are against the Applicant's husband, who is habitual criminal. It is contention of the learned counsel for the Applicant that she was not aware about the act done by her husband. To show involvement of the applicant, evidence is required. Applicant is behind bars for more than six months. She has no no antecedents. She is having small children. It may take time to conclude the trial.

7. Considering these facts, I pass following order:

**ORDER**



*Tikam*

- (i) The Applicant- Iram Samir Ansari be released on bail in Crime No. 304/2025 registered with Yeola City Police Station, on furnishing PR bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two solvent sureties in the like amount.
- (ii) The Applicant shall attend the concerned police station, as and when called.
- (iii) The Applicant shall not tamper with the evidence and/or influence the prosecution witnesses.
- (iv) The Trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits and in accordance with law, uninfluenced by the observations made in this order.
- (v) Bail Application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

**(SHIVKUMAR DIGE, J.)**