

Ashwini

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FIRST APPEAL NO. 1766 OF 2008**

The State of Maharashtra ...Appellant
Versus
Naguib Yahyabhiy Kachwalla ...Respondent

Ms Tanaya Goswami, AGP, for the Appellant-State.
Mr Ruchir Tolat, i/b LC Tolat & Co, for the Respondent.

**CORAM G.S. Patel &
Gauri Godse, JJ.**
DATED: 13th September 2022

PC:-

1. This is a very old land acquisition First Appeal. The land acquisition proceeding dates back to 1997 in respect of land at village Kusgaon, Taluka Valvan, District Pune. The reference is of 2002 and the First Appeal is of 2008. A private paper book has been filed. The matter is listed at Sr. No. 2 at 2.30 pm for final hearing. We are ready to proceed as is Mr Tolat for the Respondent.

2. The Appellant State Government however says that there are connected First Appeals and therefore this matter should be adjourned. No particulars are given.

3. The First Appeal paper book shows a list of the following 14 First Appeals.

Sr. No.	First Appeal No.	L/R No.	Name	Village	Notification Date
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	509/01	32/95	Oswal	Tungarli	.20/2/93
2	St. No. 15768/09	37/95	Shah	Tungarli	,20/2/93
3	2770/07	139/04	Oberoy	Tungarli	,20/2/93
4	2749/07	140/04	Parmar	Valvan	,28/1/93
5	1571/07	140/04	Parmar	Valvan	,28/1/93
6	585/02	354/97	Oswal	Nangargaon	,4/1/96
7	2771/07	140/02	Ghamande	Dongargaon	,04/01/96
8	513/09	187/99	Nayyar	Dongargaon	,04/01/96
9	2624/07	189/99	Karekar	Dongargaon	,04/01/96
10	1892/09	147/02	Karekar	Dongargaon	,19/12/96
11	514/09	188/99	Kachwala	Dongargaon	,19/12/96
12	1766/08	148/02	Kachwala	Kusgaon	,19/12/96
13	St. No. 15831/09	338/05	Oswal	Nangargaon	,17/1/03
14	(ST) 17538/14 C.A.F. No. 23265/15	338/05	Oswal	Nangargaon	,17/1/03

4. These are however not related to same village. Only this Appeal relates to Kusgaon village. although the claimant Kachwalla seems to have land at another village affected at Dongargaon.

5. For the present, we will list this appeal on 15th September 2022 along with the other Appeals for directions on the supplementary board.

6. The learned Advocate for the State Government must tell us that whether there are other Appeals. We are making it clear that we now propose to take up these matters together.

7. We do not propose to allow endless adjournments.

(Gauri Godse, J)

(G. S. Patel, J)